

---

# THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

---

WASHINGTON, DC

## Counting for Dollars 2020

16 Large Federal Assistance Programs that Distribute Funds on Basis of Decennial Census-derived Statistics (Fiscal Year 2015)

### #16: Child Care and Development Fund – Entitlement

The [first report](#) of the Counting for Dollars Project examines 16 large federal financial assistance programs that use Decennial Census-derived data to geographically distribute funds. This fact sheet describes the ***Child Care and Development Fund – Entitlement***, its reliance on Census-derived data, and funds distribution by state.

Objective: Provide child care assistance to low-income families so they can work, attend school, or enroll in training to improve the well-being of their families.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) #: [93.596](#)

Applicant eligibility: States

Type of assistance: Formula block grants. States provide child care subsidies through grants and contracts with providers, as well as vouchers or certificates to low-income families.

Allocation formulas: See [45 CFR Part 98, Subpart G](#). Per [45 CFR 98.63](#), matching allocation based on number of children under 13.

Household eligibility: Child must reside in a family with income that does not exceed 85 percent of the state median income for a family of the same size.

Primary Census-derived data sources: Population Estimates (Census) for number of children under 13 and American Community Survey (Census) for state median income

Relation to accuracy of state Decennial Census count: Positive

A state Decennial Census undercount of families with children would lead to a decrease in the state grant.

**Child Care and Development Fund – Entitlement  
Obligations – U.S. and States, FY2015**

United States	\$2,858,660,000		
Alabama	\$42,008,000	Montana	\$8,266,000
Alaska	\$7,895,000	Nebraska	\$21,407,000
Arizona	\$57,074,000	Nevada	\$17,805,000
Arkansas	\$21,635,000	New Hampshire	\$10,584,000
California	\$295,503,000	New Jersey	\$71,939,000
Colorado	\$38,786,000	New Mexico	\$20,188,000
Connecticut	\$36,230,000	New York	\$198,303,000
Delaware	\$9,870,000	North Carolina	\$122,249,000
District of Columbia	\$7,211,000	North Dakota	\$6,112,000
Florida	\$133,414,000	Ohio	\$130,180,000
Georgia	\$93,828,000	Oklahoma	\$46,628,000
Hawaii	\$12,039,000	Oregon	\$38,993,000
Idaho	\$12,741,000	Pennsylvania	\$116,779,000
Illinois	\$126,292,000	Rhode Island	\$11,446,000
Indiana	\$62,423,000	South Carolina	\$34,721,000
Iowa	\$25,064,000	South Dakota	\$6,472,000
Kansas	\$26,579,000	Tennessee	\$71,810,000
Kentucky	\$40,069,000	Texas	\$221,754,000
Louisiana	\$39,687,000	Utah	\$33,630,000
Maine	\$8,917,000	Vermont	\$6,670,000
Maryland	\$53,827,000	Virginia	\$63,928,000
Massachusetts	\$76,315,000	Washington	\$78,239,000
Michigan	\$82,557,000	West Virginia	\$17,443,000
Minnesota	\$52,665,000	Wisconsin	\$54,444,000
Mississippi	\$23,402,000	Wyoming	\$5,972,000
Missouri	\$56,667,000		

Source: USASpending.gov

Prepared by Andrew Reamer, Research Professor, GWIPP, with data provided by Sean Moulton, Open Government Program Manager, Project on Government Oversight

August 18, 2017