# THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

### WASHINGTON, DC

## **Counting for Dollars**

16 Largest Federal Assistance Programs that Distribute Funds on Basis of Decennial Census-derived Statistics

#### Health Center Programs (Community, Migrant, Homeless, Public Housing)

A <u>report</u> of the Counting for Dollars Project identifies the 16 largest federal financial assistance programs that use Decennial Census-derived data to distribute funds to states and local areas. This fact sheet describes the Health Center Programs and the nature of their reliance on Census-derived data.

<u>Objective</u>: Improve the health of underserved communities and vulnerable populations by assuring access to comprehensive, culturally competent, quality primary health care services.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) #: 93.224 and 93.527

Applicant eligibility: Public and nonprofit entities

Type of assistance: Project grants

<u>Beneficiary eligibility</u>: Population groups in medically underserved areas, medically underserved populations, and special populations such migratory and seasonal agricultural workers, people experiencing homelessness, and public housing residents.

Primary Census-derived data sources: Index of Medical Underservice (HHS)

Relation to accuracy of local Decennial Census count: Positive

A local Decennial Census undercount of eligible families would increase the likelihood that the area would not qualify to receive services under this program.

#### Health Center Programs (Community, Migrant, Homeless, Public Housing) Obligations – U.S. and States, FY2015

| United States        | \$4,181,407,055 |                |               |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Alabama              | \$77,783,463    | Montana        | \$38,527,377  |
| Alaska               | \$67,526,044    | Nebraska       | \$18,795,713  |
| Arizona              | \$73,592,792    | Nevada         | \$19,111,848  |
| Arkansas             | \$50,340,087    | New Hampshire  | \$22,840,179  |
| California           | \$573,200,313   | New Jersey     | \$71,866,213  |
| Colorado             | \$96,212,429    | New Mexico     | \$65,320,352  |
| Connecticut          | \$49,778,652    | New York       | \$235,772,014 |
| Delaware             | \$12,800,472    | North Carolina | \$118,621,765 |
| District of Columbia | \$25,769,178    | North Dakota   | \$9,168,808   |
| Florida              | \$214,787,047   | Ohio           | \$122,227,266 |
| Georgia              | \$104,340,922   | Oklahoma       | \$52,977,345  |
| Hawaii               | \$27,360,908    | Oregon         | \$88,010,651  |
| Idaho                | \$41,165,166    | Pennsylvania   | \$113,627,693 |
| Illinois             | \$179,864,587   | Rhode Island   | \$24,768,310  |
| Indiana              | \$62,359,621    | South Carolina | \$76,488,070  |
| lowa                 | \$35,335,304    | South Dakota   | \$17,816,686  |
| Kansas               | \$37,418,872    | Tennessee      | \$79,508,436  |
| Kentucky             | \$64,724,388    | Texas          | \$245,890,848 |
| Louisiana            | \$84,339,078    | Utah           | \$31,876,201  |
| Maine                | \$39,149,876    | Vermont        | \$17,800,109  |
| Maryland             | \$57,699,964    | Virginia       | \$79,293,497  |
| Massachusetts        | \$113,597,650   | Washington     | \$122,044,529 |
| Michigan             | \$109,278,435   | West Virginia  | \$60,745,150  |
| Minnesota            | \$36,791,987    | Wisconsin      | \$40,406,827  |
| Mississippi          | \$71,216,716    | Wyoming        | \$8,792,808   |
| Missouri             | \$92,674,409    |                |               |

Source: USASpending.gov

Prepared by Andrew Reamer, Research Professor, GWIPP, with data provided by Sean Moulton, Open Government Program Manager, Project on Government Oversight

June 13, 2017